PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.

09/868,243

Confirmation No.: 7055

Applicant

Filed

FEB 0 2 2004

Nils Carlin June 15, 2001

TC/A.U.

1645

Examiner

S. Devi

Docket No.

CARL3003/JDB

Customer No.

23364

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA. 22202-3514

Sir:

TECH CENTER 16 TO SOON This is in response to the office action dated January 21, 2004, the period for response to which is set to expire on February 21, 2004.

The examiner alleges in the office action that the application lacks unity under PCT Rule 13.1 and/or 13.2 because there is no special technical feature to unify all of the claims. Accordingly the examiner requires applicant to make an election between the invention of group I (i.e., claims 1 and 5-12 drawn to an oral vaccine) and the invention of group II (i.e., claims 13-39 drawn to a method for vaccinating against diarrhea and a method of preventing an enteric infection).

Applicant hereby elects the invention of group I (i.e., claims 1 and 5-12 drawn to an oral vaccine) without traverse. However, it is to be noted that the examiner will rejoin the nonelected claims if the examiner determines that the product claims are allowable and the corresponding method claims include all of the limitations of the allowed product claims.

Serial No. 09/868,243

In view of the above election, it is believed that the application is now ready for examination on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph DeBenedictis Registration No. 28,502

Date: January 30, 2004

BACON & THOMAS 625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Phone: (703) 683-0500 S:\Producer\idb\J.A. KEMP & CO\Carlin 868243\Response to Restriction Requirement.wpd